

(SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.)

## Statement A—concl'd.

Sl. No.	Appeal No.	Under Sec. 302, I.P.C.	Under Sec. 304, I.P.C.	Since what date each appeal is pending
(Transferred Criminal Appeals of 1957 from Bombay.)				
260	1-57	302	...	10th January 1957
261	3-57	302	...	do
262	4-57	302	...	9th January 1957
263	5-57	302	...	do
264	6-57	302	...	do
265	7-57	302	...	do
266	8-57	302	...	11th January 1957
267	10-57	302	...	do

(Transferred Criminal appeals from Madras.)

—Nil—

Total ... 267+62(A) on page 13 = 268.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Are the accused on bail in murder cases?

ಅದ್ವೈತರು. ಅದು ಹೇಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ? ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಕೀಲರಾಗಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅದು ನಿಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—In how many cases sentences of death have been awarded?

\*Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I tried to get information and I was not able to get correct information except that it involves about 15 to 20 cases.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—In how many cases the accused are on bail and in how many cases they are discharged?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot give that information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is a question relating to appeals pending in the High Court.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know the reason for this inordinate delay in the disposal of murder cases?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I think that question must be addressed to the High Court.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it not possible for the Government to make a suggestion to the High Court to

dispose of these criminal appeals especially involving murder, very early?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a suggestion for action.

## Electrification of Villages.

Q—142. Sri C. K. RAJAIH SETTY (Chiknaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of villages electrified during 1955-56 and 1956-57?

(b) whether the expected 7½ per cent income is likely to accrue from these villages as a result of electrification;

(c) whether there is any proposal to electrify villages where the income falls below 7½ per cent?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) 1955-56	...	77
1956-57	...	147

(b) Yes, in the cases of places electrified in Maidan areas of former Mysore State.

(c) No, except in cases where the prescribed return is less.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—It is stated that Government expect 7½ per cent income. Is it not a hardship on the villages where there are no village panchayats to provide so much of interest?

**\*Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—It is a matter of opinion.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—Can the Government relax the rule in view of the fact that they get at a lesser rate of interest?

**Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—The question does not arise at all in view of the fact that we are going to form the Electricity Board which will take over the entire management—production, distribution and everything.

#### Grants allotted to Chiknaikanahally Taluk under various Heads.

**Q.—143. Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY** (Chiknaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the grants allotted to Chiknaikanahally Taluk for rural water supply, local development works and depressed class amelioration funds during the year 1956-57;

(b) the amount spent, surrendered and lapsed under each head during this period?

**A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH** (Minister for Local Self-Government) [On behalf of **SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister)].—

(a) (i) Rs. 9,644

(ii) Rs. 4,875

(iii) Rs. 27,625.

(b) The details are given below:

	Amount spent	Amount surrendered	Amount lapsed
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(i) Rural Water Supply ...	8,394	1,000	250
(ii) Local Development Works ...	4,875	...	...
(iii) Depressed Class Amelioration Funds...	Rs. a. 24,376-11	Rs. a. 3,248-5	Rs. a. 748-5

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—Is the Government aware, Sir, that many wells since 10 to 12 years are half done and Government has invested lakhs of rupees in this taluk?

**Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.**—Government are not aware.

#### Converting Huliya Panchayat into a Municipality.

**Q.—151. Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY** (Chiknaikanahally).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware of the necessity of converting Huliya Panchayat into a Municipality in Chiknaikanahally Taluk;

(b) whether any representation has been made by the public of Huliya in this matter;

(c) if so, whether they have taken any action in the matter?

**A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH** (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(a), (b) and (c) Yes.

**Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.**—How long will it take to consider this question of converting the village panchayat of Huliya into a municipality?

**\*Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH.**—The question of converting the village panchayat into a municipality is under consideration. Under the existing Act, it is not possible and there is some technical objection to convert the village panchayat into a municipality. Now that we are going to expedite the introduction of uniform laws, all these points will be considered under that.

#### Construction of Bridge at Desanur in Siruguppa Taluk.

**Q.—224. Sri B. E. RAMAIAH** (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there is any proposal to construct a bridge across Tungabhadra River at Desanur in Siruguppa